$SSHRC \equiv CRSH$

350 Albert Street, P.O. Box 1610 Ottawa ON K1P 6G4 www.sshrc.ca 350, rue Albert, C.P. 1610 Ottawa ON K1P 6G4 www.crsh.ca

MEMORANDUM

то:	Deans of Graduate Studies and University Scholarship Liaison Officers
DATE:	August 3, 2018
FROM:	Valérie Laflamme, Director Research Training Portfolio, SSHRC
SUBJECT:	2019-2020 SSHRC Doctoral Awards Competition

The Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) is grateful for your invaluable contribution to the co-management of the SSHRC Doctoral Awards competition through administration of the pre-selection of applications. We thank you for your continued participation in the delivery and success of this important funding opportunity.

In preparation for the upcoming competition, SSHRC once again asks for your assistance in ensuring that your departments are informed of the funding opportunity. Enclosed, you will find updated information and instructions relevant to the administration of the pre-selection process.

The package includes:

- 1. Important updates and reminders for the 2019-2020 SSHRC Doctoral Awards Funding Opportunity;
- 2. The Roles and Responsibilities of Faculties of Graduate Studies in the Co-Management of the 2019-2020 SSHRC Doctoral Awards Competition; and
- 3. A series of related appendices.

Institutional application quotas are listed in Appendix I.

Should you require assistance to access the Grants and Scholarships Administrative Portal (GSAP), please email <u>uni.liaison@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca</u>.

The description of the Doctoral Awards funding opportunity, the application form, and the instructions are now available on the SSHRC website at the following web address: http://www.sshrc-crsh.gc.ca/funding-financement/programs-programmes/fellowships/doctoral-doctorat-eng.aspx.

If you have any questions concerning this funding opportunity, please contact:

uni.liaison@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca 613-943-7777





1: IMPORTANT UPDATES AND REMINDERS FOR THE 2019-2020 SSHRC DOCTORAL AWARDS FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI)

As of June 2018, the granting agencies (CIHR, NSERC and SSHRC) are asking all applicants for funding competitions (grants, scholarships, and fellowships) to self-identify with information on age, gender, Indigenous identity, and status as a member of a visible minority group or person with a disability. A harmonized self-identification data collection process allows the granting agencies to monitor the equity performance of its programs and design new measures that achieve greater equity, diversity and inclusion in the research enterprise. Completing the self-identification form will be mandatory, but for each category there is an option for "I prefer not to answer".

This self-identification information collected as part of EDI activities will not be visible on the doctoral awards application form. For more information, please visit: http://www.science.gc.ca/eic/site/063.nsf/eng/h_97615.html.

Indigenous Talent Measures

SSHRC is committed to supporting research by and with Indigenous peoples—in Canada and abroad—as well as Indigenous students and postdoctoral researchers seeking to advance careers in the social sciences and humanities. Measures to support graduate students and postdoctoral researchers are described below.

Indigenous applicants

New this year, institutions may submit applications from Indigenous doctoral applicants beyond their quota of applications for the national competition.

Indigenous applicants who would like their application considered for submission beyond their institution's quota are asked to select the "Option for Self-Identified Indigenous Applicants" in the "Identification" section of the online application form. Once completed, this information will be displayed on Page 1 of the application form.

Institutions should follow the regular selection process in place for the review of applications and only submit only applications deemed meritorious by the university's selection committees to the national competition.

NOTE: Applicants who have selected the option to have their application considered for submission beyond their institution's quota are advised that this information will be shared with authorized officials at their institution. This information is otherwise considered confidential and should not be shared with selection committees.

Special circumstances

Indigenous applicants may also complete an <u>allowable inclusion</u> to explain any special circumstances that may have delayed their academic career (e.g., cultural or community responsibilities, and/or challenging socio-economic contexts). This information should be taken into account by selection committee members.

Indigenous research

Applicants may identify a proposed program of study as <u>Indigenous research</u>, such that SSHRC's <u>Guidelines for the Merit Review of Indigenous Research</u> will apply.

<u>NOTE:</u> Applications involving <u>Indigenous research</u> count towards the institution's quota unless the applicant has **also** selected the option to have their application considered for submission beyond their institution's quota.

Calculation of the Number of Months of Doctoral Study Completed by December 31, 2018

In the evaluation of eligibility and eligible periods of support, SSHRC considers *all studies* counted toward the degree for which funding is requested. These include individual courses and spring/summer sessions that did not lead to a degree, and/or that were credited to the graduate degree for which funding is requested but that were taken before being officially registered in a PhD program. Please see Appendix H for examples of months completed calculations.

To assist in our assessment, please include a letter from the institution confirming any periods of previous study that should not be counted towards the graduate degree for which funding is requested. In the absence of such a confirmation, the amount of funding an applicant is eligible to receive could consequently be reduced.

Applicants having completed **between 0 and 12 months** of graduate-level study by December 31, 2018, may be eligible to apply for a <u>CGS Master's Scholarship</u>. Applicants having completed **more than 12 months** of graduate level study by December 31, 2018, or registered at a foreign institution, may only apply to the doctoral awards funding opportunity.

SSHRC assumes that all studies were full time and uninterrupted. Students who studied on a **part-time basis**, or who **interrupted their studies** with their institution's official approval, must specify the dates of interruption or part-time study in an official letter from their institution, to be included with the application.

The combined MA/PhD is where a number of months of study are credited to MA-level studies, and is considered a dual-degree program that typically allows students to complete both degrees within an overall shorter timeframe. PhD programs with an integrated Master's program/degree are treated the same as a combined MA/PhD and the MA-level studies count towards the number of months of doctoral study completed.

SSHRC defines a **fast-track** program as accelerating from a master's program into a PhD program <u>without</u> obtaining the master's degree. Unless otherwise stated by the host institution, when a student moves from the master's to PhD program after typically having completed their first year of study at the master's level, it will be treated as a fast-track and the master's level studies will count towards the number of months of doctoral study completed.

Application Requirements

Eligibility Criteria:

• **NEW*** The previous requirement for applicants to be pursuing their first PhD has been removed.

Application form:

- The Doctoral Awards application form is accessible from the online funding opportunity description until January 9, 2019;
- Applicants should avoid any hand-written edits to the application form. The form should be updated in the web-application system, printed, and re-submitted to the receiving department;

- For A-List candidates, ensure all pages of the application form are submitted to SSHRC, including **Page 9** which lists the two referees and includes a list of all university transcripts appended to the application; and
- **NEW*** All applications must be submitted by uploading them to the GSAP secure site. Applications will no longer be accepted by mail from Canadian institutions with a quota.

Transcripts:

- Applicants must include official undergraduate and graduate transcripts with their application;
- Web-based or photocopied/scanned transcripts must be validated by the institution;
- Validation may include an institutional stamp or a letter confirming that an official transcript is on file at the institution; and
- For official transcripts in languages other than French or English, please submit a copy of the official certified transcript translation with the application.

Eligibility of Subject Matter at SSHRC

In May 2017, the <u>General Guidelines for the Eligibility of Subject Matter at SSHRC</u> were updated. SSHRC requests that scholarship liaison officers carefully screen applications for subject matter eligibility prior to submitting them to SSHRC's national competition. The following are considerations when assessing the eligibility of the subject matter of applications related to health:

- Applicants whose proposed research is health-related should consult CIHR's mandate first to explore eligibility. CIHR has policies and procedures to adjudicate the full range of social sciences and humanities research proposals related to health research.
- The use of social science or humanities theories, methodologies and hypotheses is, in and of itself, not sufficient to make a proposal eligible at SSHRC.
- Applicants working in research areas where boundaries overlap are advised to state clearly in their application for funding why they believe their proposal is appropriate for support by the agency to which they are submitting their application.

If an application is considered to overlap the mandate of more than one agency, scholarship liaison officers should contact <u>uni.liaison@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca</u> well in advance of the deadline to submit applications to the national competition to request an assessment of eligibility. Staff will endeavour to provide advice on subject matter eligibility; however, a final decision on eligibility can be made only following submission of a full proposal. SSHRC does not <u>permit</u> appeals on grounds of subject matter eligibility.

Applicants should explore funding opportunities at one of the other federal granting agencies while the proposal is reviewed for eligibility, to ensure that deadlines are not missed.

SSHRC's Obligations under the Official Languages Act

Under the <u>Official Languages Act</u>, federal organizations, including SSHRC, must ensure respect for the rights of Canadians to receive services from federal organizations in the official language of their choice.

SSHRC is committed to promoting equitable access by:

• Ensuring that its funding opportunities enable the participation of both official language communities;

- Providing applicants with equitable access to the information and resources in the official language of their choice; and
- Monitoring and promoting the participation of Official Language Minority Institutions (OLMI) researchers and students in its programs.

Applicants may submit their doctoral award application in either official language; therefore, institutions must have mechanisms in place to review both English and French applications. Please contact <u>uni.liaison@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca</u> if assistance is required to assess application(s) in either official language.

Canada Graduate Scholarship to Honour Nelson Mandela

Some Canada Graduate Scholarship (CGS) recipients may be considered for the honour of having their scholarship named a "Canada Graduate Scholarship to Honour Nelson Mandela", should SSHRC deem their application to be aligned with at least one of five themes championed by Mandela: national unity; democracy; freedom and human rights; leadership; children's participation in society; and children's health.

Up to 10 CGS Master's (CGS M) and up to 10 CGS Doctoral (CGS D) awards may be selected to include the distinction "in Honour of Nelson Mandela".

The duration of the honorary mention will be for the entirety of the CGS M or CGS D awarded.

There is no separate application for the CGS to Honour Nelson Mandela.

For more information about the CGS to Honour Nelson Mandela, please consult SSHRC's website: <u>http://www.sshrc-crsh.gc.ca/funding-financement/programs-programmes/fellowships/cgs_mandela-besc_mandela-eng.aspx</u>.

SSHRC's Website

SSHRC continues to update features on its website, including:

- A webpage listing upcoming competition deadlines:
- <u>http://www.sshrc-crsh.gc.ca/funding-financement/deadlines-limites-eng.aspx</u>.
 The Resource Centre, which includes helpful information and tips for students and
- The Resource Centre, which includes helpful information and tips for students and postdoctoral researchers:
 - <u>http://www.sshrc-crsh.gc.ca/funding-financement/apply-</u> demande/resource_centre-centre_de_ressources-eng.aspx.
- Social media to publicize its funding opportunities and activities:
 - Twitter: http://twitter.com/SSHRC_CRSH;
 - Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/Social-Sciences-and-Humanities-
 - Research-Council-of-Canada-SSHRC-108668929196739/?fref=nf; and
 - YouTube: <u>http://www.youtube.com/user/SSHRC1</u>.

How to Reach Us

The Research Training Portfolio encourages scholarship liaison officers to use the following dedicated central email address when corresponding with us on all application and award related matters: <u>uni.liaison@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca</u>.

2: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF FACULTIES OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN THE CO-MANAGEMENT OF THE 2019-2020 SSHRC DOCTORAL AWARDS COMPETITION

To assist institutions in obtaining consistent results in the selection process, administrators are asked to follow the guidelines outlined below and to advise applicants of the involvement of university administrators in the process.

<u>Deadline</u>

Each institution sets its internal deadline, taking into consideration SSHRC's deadline of January 9, 2019 for receipt of applications. The individual responsible for the receipt of completed applications at the institution should be identified.

Application Forms

Applicants must use the web-based application form and must print, sign and submit the completed application to their institution.

Applications must be scanned and submitted electronically by uploading them to the GSAP secure site. Applications will no longer be accepted by mail. Additional instructions on this process will follow in the fall.

Appointment of University Selection Committee(s)

Each institution should establish the number of selection committees required to facilitate the selection process. Each committee should be composed of at least three members. Institutions must have processes in place to review both English and French applications.

Verification of Applications

Status of applicants and where to apply

Applicants registered in a degree program at a Canadian university with a quota at the time of application must apply through their university. Applicants registered concurrently in a degree program at a Canadian university with a quota and at a foreign university must apply through the Canadian university.

Completeness and eligibility of applications

Applications should be verified for completeness, legibility of documentation, eligibility of applicants as well as eligibility of the proposed program. Please ensure that the research proposal falls under SSHRC's mandate. The <u>guidelines for eligibility of subject matter at SSHRC</u> can be found on SSHRC's website. If necessary, please communicate with <u>uni.liaison@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca</u> for additional guidance. Incomplete or ineligible applications will not be accepted.

Multiple Applications

Please note that, in any given academic year, applicants may submit only one scholarship or fellowship application to SSHRC. Also, fellowship and scholarship applicants may only submit one application in each academic year to either CIHR, NSERC or SSHRC.

The only exception to this rule is that applicants may simultaneously apply to both the SSHRC Doctoral Awards and the Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarships funding opportunities. Successful applicants can only take up one award.

Review of Applications by University Selection Committee(s)

Applications must be assessed according to the criteria described in the attached document entitled "Guidelines for University Selection Committee(s)" (see **Appendix A**). The purpose of the review is to identify applications which are recommended to SSHRC for the national competition and to identify applications which are not recommended. We are also enclosing a copy of the scoring scheme used by SSHRC selection committees, as well as an assessment form that may be useful for committee members (see **Appendices B** and **C**).

<u>NOTE</u>: University selection committees should not be provided with an applicant's personal information used for statistical and administrative purposes. This includes an applicant's Indigenous identity, and contact information (Pages 1 & 2 of the application form).

Lists of Recommended and Non-Recommended Applicants

The deadline for submission of applications to SSHRC is **Monday**, **January 9**, **2019**. The following is required with the submission:

- the A-List (**Appendix D**), together with a complete application for each recommended applicant;
- if applicable, recommended applicants submitted beyond the institution's quota (appendix E), together with a complete application for each applicant; and
- the B-List (Appendix F), together with the Application Profile page and the Identification Sheets (pages 1, 2 and 3 of the application) for each non-recommended applicant.

Please note that SSHRC will not accept modified or updated lists of applicants or additional applications after the deadline.

Announcement of Results to Non-Recommended Applicants

Applicants who are not recommended to the SSHRC national competition must be advised as soon as possible by the Faculty of Graduate Studies of the result of their application. Faculties of Graduate Studies are asked to address appeals according to the appeals procedures listed in **Appendix G**.

Announcement of Final Results

Once SSHRC has completed its national competition, each institution will receive two lists: a list of the results for all applicants forwarded to the national competition by the institution and a list of successful applicants who have indicated their intent to take up the award at that institution. Upon receipt of the first list, the Faculty of Graduate Studies may release the results to applicants on its A-List.

SSHRC will send individual results to each candidate by mail.

Institutions are encouraged to visit the *Competition Results* section of SSHRC's website for details regarding the official announcement of competition results by the Government of Canada and to contact <u>SSHRC's Communications division</u> prior to making any public announcement related to the competition results.

GUIDELINES FOR UNIVERSITY SELECTION COMMITTEE(S)

Evaluation Criteria

Applications are to be evaluated solely based on their academic merit. To assess the overall merit of an application, committee members should carefully consider each of the following:

- past academic results, demonstrated by transcripts, awards and distinctions;
- the program of study and its potential contribution to the advancement of knowledge;
- relevant professional and academic experience, including research training, as demonstrated by conference presentations and scholarly publications;
- two written evaluations from referees; and
- the departmental appraisal (for those registered at Canadian institutions).

Applications involving Indigenous research should be reviewed in the context of SSHRC's definition of <u>Indigenous research</u> and its <u>Guidelines for the Merit Review of</u> <u>Indigenous Research</u>. For more information, please consult the <u>Indigenous Talent</u> <u>Measures</u> page.

The above criteria are not weighted but committee members are to consider each when assigning an application a global score (see Scoring Scheme, **Appendix B**). In applying the evaluation criteria, it is important to consider the individual applicant's stage in his or her academic program.

Members are asked to take into consideration special circumstances that may have affected candidates' research, professional career, record of academic or research achievement, or completion of degrees. Relevant circumstances might include administrative responsibilities, maternity/parental leave, childrearing, illness, disability, cultural or community responsibilities, socio-economic context, or healthrelated family responsibilities. Applicants may attach a one page appendix (allowable inclusions) to their application.

Committee members must pay special attention to completeness and clarity in the applicant's proposed program of study, which is presented in essay form.

Institutions may use their own scoring scheme or adopt the model SSHRC uses with its committees. Please find attached the guidelines which are sent to SSHRC selection committee members (Appendix B).

The complete description of the Doctoral Awards funding opportunity is available on <u>SSHRC's website</u>.

It is recommended that selection committee members receive unconscious bias training prior to the selection process. The federal granting agencies have developed a <u>module</u> for this purpose, designed to promote an understanding of unconscious bias and how it

can affect the review process. It also provides strategies for mitigating bias during the review process.

Please note that all committee members reviewing SSHRC applications for merit are subject to the <u>Conflict of Interest and Confidentiality Agreement for Review</u> <u>Committee Members, External Reviewers, and Observers</u>. Signed agreements should be kept on file at the institution.

SCORING SCHEME USED BY SSHRC SELECTION COMMITTEES

To facilitate the ranking of applications, larger universities may wish to use the 100point scale below, while smaller institutions may prefer the 10-point scale. Using either scale, the scores must be distributed according to the proportions specified in the columns below. Scores should not be interpreted as absolute values. Rather, they are measures of an application's standing, relative to the other applications in the competition.

For the system to work properly, it is imperative that members rigorously apply this distribution formula.

Score	Score	% of applications to be
10-point scale	100-point scale	assigned the score
10 (highest score)	90 - 100 (highest mark)	2%
9	80 - 89.9	5%
8	70 - 79.9	10%
7	60 - 69.9	14%
6	50 - 59.9	19%
5	40 - 49.9	19%
4	30 - 39.9	14%
3	20 - 29.9	10%
2	10 - 19.9	5%
1 (lowest score)	1 - 9.9 (lowest score)	2%

The recommended procedure to generate the desired distribution is:

For each application, fill out the assessment form provided and allocate a mark (from 1 to 100 or from 1 to 10). You should provide enough detail on the assessment form for easy reference when reviewing your scores. Please see **Appendix C** for a copy of the assessment form used by SSHRC.

Sort the assessment forms into groups according to the score you have given. That is, put all the 90 to 100s (or the 10s) in one group, all the 80 to 89.9 (or the 9s) in another, etc.

Review your initial distribution, beginning with the applications scored at the top. If the applications in this group exceed the allowed number, rank them based on your comments on the assessment sheets. Keep the required number in the top category and add the ones at the bottom of the list to the next group. If you have too few in the top category, proceed to the next category and rank them. Place the highest scoring application(s) from this group in the top category until the desired number is reached.

This procedure ensures that, when combined, the scores of the committee members produce a final ranking of recommended candidates.

APPENDIX C

UNIVERSITY PRE-SELECTION / PRÉSÉLECTION PAR LES UNIVERSITÉS

Assessment Form - SSHRC/Joseph-Armand Bombardier CGS Doctoral Awards Formulaire d'évaluation - Bourses de doctorat CRSH/BÉSC Joseph-Armand Bombardier

Name of applicant: Nom du candidat : Current level of study: Niveau actuel d'études :

Organization at time of application: Université au moment de la demande :

Organization to award: Université qui décernera le diplôme :

Title of project or subject of research: Titre du projet ou sujet de la recherche :

Evaluation criteria: Awards, Experience and Publications, Proposal, Letters, Departmental Rankings, Transcripts

Critères d'évaluation : Bourses, Expérience et publications, Projet, Lettres, Classement du département, Relevés de notes

Comments/Commentaires

Total Score (out of 10 or 100)Note globale (sur 10 ou 100)Use « + » or « - » to indicate the direction in which the score of an application could move at any
stage of the process./Indiquer par un « - » ou un « + » la direction que pourrait prendre la note
attribuée à une demande à n'importe quelle étape du processus

This sheet is for your own use. Do not return to SSHRC./Ce formulaire est pour votre propre usage. Ne le retournez pas au CRSH.

Please ensure that this document is destroyed in a secure manner once the selection process is complete./Veuillez vous assurer de détruire ce document de façon sécuritaire une fois le processus de sélection terminé.

APPEALS PROCEDURES

Institutional administrators are asked to address appeals in accordance with SSHRC's appeals procedures: <u>http://www.sshrc-crsh.gc.ca/about-au_sujet/policies-politiques/statements-enonces/appeals-appels-eng.aspx</u>.

Only those appeals based on the grounds that there has been a procedural or administrative error in the adjudication process should be considered. For example, an applicant might contend that certain documentation was lost or not considered in the evaluation of a proposal and that the application had therefore not been considered fairly in competition with all others. Complaints based on a perceived lack of due process should be investigated by an official of the Faculty of Graduate Studies.

Because of the competitive nature of the selection process, institutions must not consider appeals based upon disagreement with the academic judgment of a selection committee, including its interpretation of assessments or its evaluation of a proposal.

SSHRC will reroute letters challenging an institution's decision to the appropriate institutional administrator.

EXAMPLES OF MONTHS OF STUDY COMPLETED CALCULATIONS

FT = Full-time PT= part-time University X = Not the host institution Part-time* = confirmed with an official letter from the institution

• Full-time with no interruptions; PhD program start date of September 2017

FT September 2017 through August 2018 = 12 months + FT September 2018 through December 2018 = 4 months TOTAL number of months of studies completed = <u>16 months</u>

• Full-time with no interruptions; MA/PhD program start date September 2018

FT September 2018 through December 2018 = 4 months TOTAL number of months of studies completed = $\frac{4 \text{ months}}{4 \text{ months}}$

Since this total is between 0-12 months, assuming this student is not the recipient of a CGS-M, they may be eligible to apply for a CGS-M first

• Full-time with no interruptions; Started MA degree in September 2017 but fast-tracked (as defined by SSHRC) to PhD program September 2018 (no letter from institution stating otherwise)

FT September 2017 through August 2018 = 12 months + FT September 2018 through December 2018 = 4 months TOTAL number of months of studies completed = <u>16 months</u>

• Full-time with approved 4-month interruption (January 2018 through April 2018); PhD program start date of September 2017

September 2017 through August 2018 = 12 months + September 2018 through December 2018 = 4 months DEDUCT January 2018 through April 2018 interruption = 4 months TOTAL number of months of studies completed = <u>12 months</u>

• Full time - PhD program start date of September 2017; Part-time* registration status as of September 2018 through December 2018

FT September 2017 through August 2018 = 12 months + PT September 2018 through December 2018 (4 months / 2) = 2 months TOTAL number of months of studies completed = <u>14 months</u>

• Full time - PhD program start date of September 2017; Previous year (September 2011 through August 2012) of doctoral studies completed at other institution credited toward graduate degree for which funding is requested

FT September 2017 through August 2018 = 12 months + FT September 2018 through December 2018 = 4 months + FT September 2011 through August 2012 (at University X and credited towards current degree for which funding is requested) = 12 months TOTAL number of months of studies completed = <u>28 months</u>

• Full time - PhD program start date of September 2017; 1 course taken during winter semester (January 2017 through April 2017) credited toward graduate degree for which funding is requested

FT September 2017 through August 2018 = 12 months + FT September 2018 through December 2018 = 4 months + PT January 2017 through April 2017 for individual course credited towards graduate degree for which funding is requested (4 months / 2) = 2 months TOTAL number of months of studies completed = <u>18 months</u>

• Full time - PhD program start date of September 2017; Spring semester (May & June 2017) part-time* course load credited toward graduate degree for which funding is requested (not qualifying courses)

FT September 2017 through August 2018 = 12 months + FT September 2018 through December 2018 = 4 months + PT Spring semester (May & June 2017) credited towards graduate degree for which funding is requested (2 months / 2) = 1 month TOTAL number of months of studies completed = <u>17 months</u>

For questions about these and other scenarios please contact the Research Training Portfolio: <u>uni.liaison@sshrc-crsh.gc.ca</u>.

Quotas for the 2019-2020 SSHRC/Joseph-Armand Bombardier CGS Doctoral Awards Competition / Quotas pour les demandes de bourses de doctorat pour le concours 2019-2020 du CRSH/BÉSC Joseph-Armand-Bombardier

,	
Institution / Établissement	(QUOTA) *
Acadia University	3
Athabasca University	3
Brock University	12
Carleton University	62
Dominican University College	3
Concordia University	56
Dalhousie University	23
École nationale d'administration publique	3
École Polytechnique de Montréal	3
HEC Montréal	9
Institut national de la recherche scientifique	5
Institute for Christian Studies	3
Lakehead University	5
Laurentian University	3
McGill University	108
McMaster University	56
Memorial University of Newfoundland	20
Mount Saint Vincent University	3
Nipissing University	3
Queen's University	81
Royal Military College of Canada	3
Royal Roads University	3
Ryerson University	17
Saint Mary's University	5
Saint Paul University	3

Simon Fraser University	53
St. Francis Xavier University	3
The University of British Columbia	132
The University of Winnipeg	3
Thompson Rivers University	3
Trent University	5
Trinity Western University	3
Université de Moncton	3
Université de Montréal	98
Université de Sherbrooke	20
Université du Québec à Chicoutimi	5
Université du Québec à Montréal	68
Université du Québec à Rimouski	3
Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières	6
Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue	3
Université du Québec en Outaouais	3
Université Laval	56
University of Alberta	68
University of Calgary	54
University of Guelph	29
University of Lethbridge	5
University of Manitoba	21
University of New Brunswick	18
University of Northern British Columbia	3
University of Ontario Institute of Technology	3
University of Ottawa	101
University of Prince Edward Island	3
University of Regina	5
University of Saskatchewan	23
University of St. Michael's College	3
University of Toronto	246
University of Victoria	45

University of Waterloo	39
University of Windsor	14
Western University	75
Wilfrid Laurier University	26
York University	108
Total	1851

* The formula to calculate an institution's quota is based on the institution's average performance, including the number of candidates receiving awards and those recommended but not funded, in the last three competitions (2016-2017, 2017-2018, 2018-2019), multiplied by a factor of 1.50. The minimum quota is three applications./ La formule de calcul pour les quotas est basée sur la moyenne de la performance de chaque établissement, en tenant compte du nombre de candidat(e)s ayant reçu des bourses ainsi que le nombre de candidat(e)s recommandé(e)s mais non subventionné(e)s, dans les trois derniers concours (2016-2017, 2017-2018, 2018-2019), multiplié par un facteur de 1,50. Le quota minimal est de trois demandes.

July / Juillet 2018